

1	Sanders' motion for extension of time, in its opening paragraph, refers to the petition that he
2	plans to file as a "supplemental petition." The court's intention is that Sanders will file a first
3	amended petition complete within itself without reference to the pro se petition filed by Sanders
4	(ECF No. 6). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 (distinction between amended and supplemental pleadings);
5	LR 15-1(a). There is no indication that there is any need for a supplemental petition in this case.
6	Sanders' amended petition may refer to, and rely upon, exhibits filed by respondents
7	(ECF Nos. 14-18). Sanders should not file duplicates of any exhibits already filed by respondents.
8	Finally, as Sanders' motion for extension of time clearly expresses his intention to file an
9	amended petition, respondents' motion to dismiss Sanders' pro se petition will be denied as moot,
10	without prejudice to respondents asserting any of the same defenses to claims raised in Sanders'
11	anticipated amended petition.
12	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF No.
13	27) is <b>GRANTED</b> . Petitioner shall have until and including <b>July 11, 2016</b> , to file and serve a first
14	amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 13) is
16	<b>DENIED</b> as moot.
17	
18	Dated May 11 2016.
19	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	